

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/748,250	12/27/2000	Jea-Yong Yoo	2950-0181P-SP	4718
2292	7590 12/02/2004		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			KE, PENG	
	RCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2174	
			DATE MAILED 12/03/200	

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	- An			
• ,		09/748,250	YOO ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•		Peng Ke	2174				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addres	is			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may to reply within the statutory minimum of to riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Melatute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this commu ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	<u>6 July 2004</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)[Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the column the oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	• • •	• •			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmen	t(s)						
2) Notice 3) Information	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB or No(s)/Mail Date) Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152 	:)			

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is responsive to communications: Amendment, filed on 7/27/2004.
- 2. Claims 1-14 are pending in this application. Claims 1 and 9 are independent claims. In the Amendment, filed on 7/27/2004, claims 1 and 9 were amended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-5, 8-9 and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Humpleman et al. US-6,182,094 B1.

As per claim 1, Humpleman et al teach a method of menu-based remote control of external devices that are connected to an audio/video apparatus having a display device (col. 23, lines 32-59), comprising the steps of

a. displaying on the display device, a first menu image including a first plurality of menu items, each of the first plurality of menu items menu item corresponding to each of the external devices, such that a selected external device from the external devices is selected from the first plurality of menu items (704, 712, Fig. 10; col. 15, lines 40-47);

b. requesting a second menu image from the selected external device, the second menu image including a second plurality of menu items associated with operations of the selected

Application/Control Number: 09/748,250

Art Unit: 2174

external device, each of the second plurality of menu items being arranged in a specific position region in the second menu image; (804, 806, 706, Fig. 10; col. 15, lines 56-62);

c. receiving the second menu image from the selected external device and displaying the second menu image on said display device in place of the first menu image previously display on said display device (col. 13, lines 30-68), the second menu image being displayed without performing an additional image construction process; and (col. 15, lines 63-65).

d. obtaining a position of a cursor on the second menu image displayed on said display device in response to a user input and transmitting the position of the cursor to the selected external device, the selected external device performing an operation corresponding to one of the second plurality of menu items when the selected external device detects the position of the cursor within the specific position region of the one of the second plurality of menu items and displaying the result of said operation on said display device in place of any image previously displayed (col. 13, lines 30-68).

As per claim 2, Humpleman et al teach the method wherein each of the first plurality of menu items corresponds to each of the external devices that are connected to the audio/video apparatus through an IEEE 1394 interface (100, Fig. l; col. 6, lines 10-15).

As per claims 3 and 5, Humplen-.an et al teach the method comprising the step of checking what external devices are connected before step (a) and the method wherein the checking step is performed when communication with the external devices through the common bus before the step (col. 11, lines 35-67 - col. 12, lines 1-1 l).

Application/Control Number: 09/748,250

Art Unit: 2174

As per claim 4, Humpleman et al do not explicitly teach the method wherein the checking step is performed in response to a user request of the first menu image for selection of the external device. However, such a step would have been inherent to the system because the user must initiate certain actions or operations such as turning on the software agent system (Col. 9, lines 22-26) in order to see the availability of all the devices through the menu image.

As per claim 8, Humpleman et al teach a method further comprising the step of transmitting information about the current position of a cursor (user selection on PC is done with cursor) on the menu image displayed instep (c) to the selected external device, wherein the user input includes an entry of a selection key by a user (Col. 15, lines 40-65).

As per claim 9, it is rejected with the same rationale as claim 1. (see rejection above)

As per claim 11, Humpleman et al. teach the method of claim 9 wherein the user input includes an entry of a selection key by a user (selection by user) (col. 17, lines 54-63).

As per claim 12, Humpleman et al. teach the method of claim 9 further comprising the step of checking if the external device is connected through a common bus before the step (a) (transmission signals confirm connection) (col. 5, lines 39-46).

As per claim 13, Humpleman et al. teach the method of claim 13, wherein the checking step is performed in response to a user request of the first menu image for selection of the external device (col. 13, lines 30-68).

As per claim 14, Humpleman et al teach the method wherein the checking step is performed when communication with the external device through the common bus is possible (security system operates through the bus) (Fig. 1, 118).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6-7, and 10 are rejected tinder 35 USC 103(a) over Humpleman et al, US 6,182,094 B1 in view of Kim, US-6,133,911.

As per claim 6, Humpleman et al disclose the method of claim 1 but do not explicitly teach a method comprising the step of displaying the one of the second plurality of menu items differently from the other menu items of the second plurality of menu items on the second menu image when a cursor is positioned over the menu items during the movement of the cursor. However, such a feature is well known in the art. For instance, Kim teaches a method comprising the step of displaying a menu item differently from other menu items on the menu images displayed in step (c) when a cursor is positioned over the menu item during the movement of the cursor (S423, Fig. 4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time to combine the teachings of Humpleman et al and Kim to incorporate a display change upon cursor contact in order to visually aid a user's interaction with the system.

As per claim 7, Kim further teaches the method wherein the one of the second plurality of menu items over which the cursor is positioned is displayed differently from other menu items of

the second plurality of menu items on the basis of information about the positions of the second plurality of menu items on the menu image, the information being provided by the selected external device (control device) (S423, Fig. 4; col. 4, lines 49-60).

As per claim 10, Humpleman et al disclose the method of claim 9 but do not teach a method wherein the second menu item,-,hanges color and/or brightness when the external device detects the position of the cursor within the specific position region of the second menu item. However, Kim teaches a method wherein a menu item over which the cursor is positioned during the movement of the cursor is displayed differently from other menu items in color and/or brightness (S420, S423, Fig. 4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Humpleman et al and Kim in order to visually aid a user's interaction with the system.

Response to Argument

Applicant's arguments filed on 7/27/2004 have been fully considered but they are not. persuasive.

Applicant argues that Humpleman et al. fails to teach receiving the second menu image from the selected external device and displaying the second menu image on said display device in place of the first menu image previously displayed on said display device, and displaying the result of said operation on said display device in place of any image previously displayed.

Examiner disagrees.

Humpleman et al. allowed a user to link device back to its home page, and download a user interface from the home page for that particular device (col. 13, lines 30-68).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peng Ke whose telephone number is (571) 272-4062. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th and Alternate Fridays 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine L Kincaid can be reached on (571) 272-4063. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Peng Ke

Wistine Vincaid

KRISTINE KINCAID

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100